"THE FIRST STEAM ENGINE IN AMERICA"

BY RICHARD P. MC CORMICK

While preparing a study of New Jersey politics after the Revolution, the author of this article came upon the letter by Josiah Hornblower which is here printed. Mr. McCormick, a graduate of Rutgers, studied last year at the University of Pennsylvania on a grant from the Rutgers Research Council. He is on the staff of the Department of History.

In September, 1753, the little snow1 Irene arrived in New York after a passage of twelve weeks from London with a cargo that included the first Newcomen or Cornish “fire engine” to reach America. Accompanied by a young British engineer, Josiah Hornblower, the primitive steam engine was destined for the famous copper mine owned by Colonel John Schuyler at Second River (now North Arlington) in New Jersey, where it was to be employed in pumping the deep shafts free of water. By the early part of 1755 the machine had been transported to Newark, assembled, and placed in operation, and for the next two decades it gave intermittent service. The history of this precursor of the age of steam has been recorded by numerous writers and need not be retold in detail here.2 But one important incident in the story, long clouded in doubt and befogged by conflicting sources, is in need of clarification.

Although it has been known that on one or more occasions the engine was put out of commission by fires that destroyed the building in which it was housed, it has never been definitely established when these disasters occurred. Benjamin H. Latrobe, the distinguished architect and engineer, stated that there were two fires, one in 1761, and a second—started by a disgruntled workman—in 1765.3 A later authority, Thomas F. Gordon, mentions only one conflagration, which he places in 1765.4 Nelson, after assessing and then discounting the testimony of previous writers, concluded that the engine-house

1 A square-rigged vessel, now rare, differing only slightly from a brig.
3 [Benjamin H. Latrobe], American Copper-Mines, n.p., n.d. The Rutgers University Library possesses this rare, eight-page pamphlet, which is addressed “To the Chairman of the Committee of Commerce and Manufactures, to whom has been referred the petition of N[icholas]. I[ames]. Roosevelt and his Associates, praying for an act of incorporation of a Mine and Metal Company.” It was probably written in 1801.
4 A Gazetteer of the State of New Jersey (Trenton, 1834), p. 11.
had been destroyed in 1773, for it was in that year that the financial accounts of the mine came to an abrupt end.

On the basis of evidence that was not known to Nelson and his predecessors, it is at last possible to fix the dates of the fires with certainty. The New York Mercury for March 22, 1762, reported that one week earlier the building which housed the engine “took Fire and was burnt to the Ground, and the Works belonging to the Engine, which it is said cost near Ten Thousand Pounds, were destroyed.” It was conjectured that the blaze was caused by a careless workman who left a candle burning on the first floor after he had retired to his sleeping quarters upstairs. A brief account of a second destructive fire was printed in The Pennsylvania Chronicle of July 25, 1768.

New York, July 21. We hear from Newark, that on Monday Night last, [July 17] Schuyler’s Copper Works, at Second River, which were burnt about 7 Years ago, were again destroyed by Fire, supposed to be by Design, as it was not known that any Fire had been lately used there. It is doubtful whether a third fire occurred in 1773. There is no mention of such an event in the contemporary press, nor is the reasoning adduced by Nelson in support of his conclusion convincing. In all probability the cessation of mining activities in that year was due either to financial or technological difficulties.

A letter now in the possession of the Rutgers University Library not only gives an excellent, first-hand description of the fire of 1768 but also affords an insight into the tangled affairs of the ill-fated copper mine. It reveals that the suspension of mining activities was not caused by the accidental destruction of the engine house but was rather the result of the typical problems which beset mining entrepreneurs in a country where capital, mechanical skills, and equipment were extremely scarce. The writer, Josiah Hornblower, leased the mine from the Schuylers in 1761 in partnership with one John Stearndall under an arrangement whereby one-seventh of the ore produced was to be paid as rent. Four years later the two partners assigned half of their interest to a group of Philadelphia speculators. After the ruinous fire the works were apparently idle until 1770,

5 New Jersey Archives, 1st Ser., xxiv, p. 19.
6 Ibid., xxvi, p. 220.
when a New York syndicate became interested in the venture. In 1773 operations were again halted, and no attempt was made to resume them until after the Revolution. During the first half of the nineteenth century several companies were organized at various times to exploit the still-rich veins of ore, but all of them were short-lived and unprofitable. As for the ancient engine, it was broken up around 1800, and half of the cylinder eventually came to rest in the Smithsonian Institution. Hornblower’s letter, in which he acquaints one of his partners with the financial difficulties that led to the closing of the mine, is printed below.

Schuyler's Mine 23 July 1768

Sir

When I reflect on the conversation I had with you last July at Second River respecting the affairs of Schuyler’s Mine, & the repeated promises you then made, to send by the first opportunity the Ballance due to me for money advanced to the Works; & how greatly you have failed in the performance thereof; I am utterly unable to form one probable reason to account for such a conduct: especially when I consider, that you must have known the Mine, & consequently the Intrist of all concern’d therein, was likely to suffer by it. If you had conceived any ill opinion of the Works, or of my manner of conducting them, or both, you would no doubt have freely express’d it; but no such thing, not the least hint of this kind was ever given me; on the contrary, as far as I could perceive, you appear’d to be well satisfied. After waiting some months, & being assured that several opportunities had been neglected by which you could have supply’d me with cash, I concluded, that no such thing was ever meant or intended. Therefore, I stop’d all those parts of the Mine as were not then absolutely necessary for the present working the Vein, with design to pay myself out of the profits of the Ore, which design I should have easily effected if the Vein had remain’d as large as usual, but It unfortunately happen’d shortly after you went from hence, to diminish, to such a degree, that the most experienced Miner could hardly per-
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ceive any Vein at all. In this scituation It continued 'till about the 20th October, & then enlarg'd a little but afterwards re-
main'd small, 'till the latter end of December, when I was obl
ig'd to stop the Engine for want of Fuel. yet notwithstanding
these discouragements, I had still expectations of the Veins
enlargement, & of accomplishing my design; Therefore I proc
ured in the best manner I could another stock of Fuel, put
down the old pumps, & made all necessary repairs, and in the
beginning of Aprill set the Works on again, The Stoops & the
Sump," I carried on together, & sunk down the latter deep
enough as I imagin'd to allow me the advantage of a Sumer's
work on the Vein, but It soon took an uncommon dip, & got be-
low our Stoops, but as It appear'd at the same time to be increas-
ing very much in Its dimensions, I endeavou'r'd to follow It
with hand pumps, but found them insufficient to draw the water.
This Sir oblig'd me, either to sink down the Sump, & drive up
an under level, or stop the Mine; as the former would have
been going to a great & certain expence, for an uncertain profit,
& being deserted as it were by my partners, or at least deprived
of their immediate advice, I perferred the latter: Accordingly
on monday last after the Tools were brought up, the Engine
pumps secur'd &c, I discharged all the hands; when to compleat
all our other misfortunes, about eight o'Clock on the evening of
the same day, the Engine House was seen to be on fire, which
burned with such impetuousity, that the utmost efforts of a great
number of people, could not prevent It from being reduced to a
heap of ruins in a few minutes. how it happened cannot well
be accounted for, a person went into It about two hours before
with design to light his pipe, but says, he could [not] find any
fire to light it with. This is now the deplorable situation of the
Mine, & you know Sir, that our Lease becomes void & forfieted
(if we neglect working It) in six months, & in eighteen months
if we do not rebuild the Fire engine. The Vein as I observ'd
above appeared to be very good & still encreasing, which may
enable us, if we do not chuse to work It ourselves, to dispose of

8 A stoop—or stope—is an excavation for extracting ore in successive steps or ledges.
A sump is a depression sunk below the lowest level in a shaft to receive water and form
a pool from which it may be pumped.
the Lease before It is forfeited for some consideration. All the Ore I have been able to raise since last July, amounts to about 44 Tons including 10 or 12 Tons now by me, at the expense of about £3900 therefore the Mine has sunk since that time about £700, and as will appear, by the whole state of the Accounts, you now stand indebted to me for yourself & constituents, about £750. These matters Sir I hope you will lay before the other gentlemen concern’d without delay, and advise me by the first opportunity how, or in what manner I am to act, I also beg that you will not fail sending me at the same time the above Balance, or at least part of it, as no person can be in greater present want of It than

Sir Your most obedient Serv’t:

[signed] Josiah Hornblower